| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Paul McGavin |
| **To:** | French, Amy |
| **Cc:** | Atkinson, Rebecca; Gitelman, Hillary |
| **Subject:** | Close Proximity Microwave Radiation Antennas (CPMRA) in Palo Alto"s residential zones, Round 12 |
| **Date:** | Thursday, April 19, 2018 12:46:22 PM |

April 19, 2018

Good afternoon, Ms. French.

I will look forward to reading the "staff report to City Council generated in the coming weeks". Your statement that you will write a staff report does not in anyway address the fact that you and the rest of the Palo Alto planning staff **has refused to answer the reasonable questions** that I have repeatedly asked since the first week in December, 2017.

Are you **still refusing to answer these questions** or do you intend to answer these questions in the context of your staff report?

Please clarify so you can **actually address** what Robin Ellner forwarded to you.

May we also get an answer about those mailing addresses?

Will you please address that today?

## Questions Waiting for Answers from Palo Alto Planning:

Before we consider Mr. Hammett's 6/8/17 RF Microwave radiation exposure analysis and letter a misleading whitewash, will the City of Palo Alto please answer the following questions?

1. At what time of the day were Mr. Hammett's measurements taken?
2. For each location, how many minutes did Mr. Hammett take these measurements? More or less than 30 minutes, the standard for general public exposure RF Microwave radiation exposure measurements?
3. Once we know the answer to Q2, do you then have a data log for all of the measurements during this time period?
4. Did you compare RF/MW radiation levels during different activities and at different times of day? We all know that network traffic varies by activity and throughout the day. Specifically, how did the average and peak readings compare during the following activities:
    - a: Beacon signals only, with no one in the office was connecting a device to the antenna on the light pole
    - b: While making a Verizon call
    - c: When sending/receiving a Verizon text
    - d: When streaming a video from the Verizon antenna
    - e: When downloading a software update from the Verizon antenna

5. What was the maximum instantaneous power/density RF/MW radiation reading (a configuration option available on the NBM-520 Broadband Field Meter)?
6. How do the maximum instantaneous power/density RF/MW radiation readings and compare to the average readings?

## Additional Questions Waiting for Answers from Palo Alto Planning:

1. What RF Microwave radiation exposure data did the City of Palo Alto request from Hammett & Edison for the report/letter H/E completed on 6/8/17 about the 19-Small Cell project completed and turned on in downtown Palo Alto in November 2016?
2. What is the City of Palo Alto's commitment to getting sufficient data from any RF Microwave radiation contractor to be able to accurately characterize the pre and post construction RF Microwave radiation exposure environment in Palo Alto where densified "Small Cells" have been or are planned to be installed? **Having sufficient RF Microwave Radiation exposure data (simple averages are not sufficient) placed in the public record is critically important before any new "Small Cell" towers are approved for Palo Alto's residential zones**.
3. The 17PLN-00169 document reports "Environmental Assessment: Pending . . . The project is under review in accordance with the authority and criteria contained in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines, and the environmental regulations of the City.". Will you please describe the scope and timing required to complete this Environmental Assessment? What's the plan to complete this?
4. The 17PLN-00169 document says "The applicant submitted a statement on maximum buildout within their project description, which is still under analysis." Is this complete? If not, when is the City of Palo Alto expecting this?
5. What specific real-life evidence (not projections/calculations) has the applicant provided to prove that there is a significant gap in Verizon coverage? **Verizon coverage maps from Verizon's web site are not sufficient for this purpose**

>>> On 4/19/18, French, Amy wrote:

Good morning, Mr. McGavin.

I have recently returned to review many emails. Robin responded to your request by forwarding your April 11th email to me.

The staff report to City Council generated in the coming weeks will be published for the public to review in advance of the public hearing.

Thank you for your continued interest in this matter.

**From:** Ellner, Robin
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 11, 2018 11:06 AM
**To:** French, Amy
**Subject:** FW: Close Proximity Microwave Radiation Antennas (CPMRA) in Palo Alto's residential zones

Amy,

Please see the email request from Paul McGavin below.


**Robin**

Robin Ellner | Administrative Associate III| P&CE Department
250 Hamilton Avenue | Palo Alto, CA 94301
**T:** 650.329.2603 |**E:** robin.ellner@cityofpaloalto.org


*Please think of the environment before printing this email – Thank you!*

---

**From:** Paul McGavin [mailto:paul.mcgavin@scientists4wiredtech.com]
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 11, 2018 11:01 AM
**To:** Ellner, Robin
**Subject:** Close Proximity Microwave Radiation Antennas (CPMRA) in Palo Alto's residential zones

Will you please ensure that Amy French addresses this when she returns on Apr 16?

Thank you.

>>> On 4/11/16, Automatic reply from French, Amy:

I am out of office until April 16th. Please contact Robin Ellner at Robin.ellner@cityofpaloalto.org if you wish to meet with me on my return or have questions about the Historic Resources Board calendaring. Contact James Stephens for Code Enforcement james.stephens@cityofpaloalto.org.

All other items, I will check email upon my return.

Hi, Rebecca.

I have a few follow up questions -- how do we get access to the mailing list to whom the City of Palo Alto mailed notices for all Clusters that are facing installation of Close Proximity Microwave Radiation Antennas (CPMRA) in Palo Alto's residential zones? Will you please confirm that the mailing list is part of the public record?

Also, will the City of Palo Alto planning staff **now, finally. have the time to** answer the many reasonable questions that you and others in the City of Palo Alto Planning Department have **refused to answer** since 12/6/17? You have a list of these unanswered questions in the public record.

If you are having any problem locating these questions, then please email to let me know that and I I will send them again.

--
Regards,

**Paul McGavin**
Scientists For Wired Technology
work: 415-382-4040
text: 707-939-5549
skype: paulmcgavin