From:	Atkinson, Rebecca
To:	"Melody Song"
Cc:	Mary Diesch
Subject:	RE: Alternate Pole
Date:	Monday, April 09, 2018 11:51:00 AM

Dear Melody Song,
Thank you for your email below and others that you have sent in.
The City will do a full review on all materials submitted.
The applicant team is free to submit application materials for primary and/or alternate(s) - it is not necessary for the City to request it for this information to come in.
If this information did come in, the applicant would need to put up the notice board on any additional pole and there would also possibly be some other City noticing procedures.
I do anticipate resubmittal of the Vinculums/Verizon Cluster 2 application materials in the future, but the applicant team would be the ones to know exactly when.
Please feel free to check back in with me on the latest.
Regards,
Rebecca

Rebecca Atkinson, PMP, AICP, LEED Green Associate | Planner | P&CE Department
250 Hamilton Avenue | Palo Alto, CA 94301
T: 650.329.2596 | F: 650.329.2154 |E: rebecca.atkinson@cityofpaloalto.org

Online Parcel Report | Palo Alto Municipal Code
Planning Forms & Handouts | Planning Applications Mapped

From: Melody Song [mailto:shanghaimelody@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 1:51 PM
To: Atkinson, Rebecca
Cc: Mary Diesch
Subject: Alternate Pole

Hi Rebecca,

I am contacting you again regarding the Verizon small cell installations, specifically, regarding the pole in front of my house (Cluster 2, Node 155 - 17PLN-00170). I wrote a few emails to you, the Planning Director, and the Architecture Review Board, strongly opposing selecting this pole, because it fails to meet the criteria laid out in the design guidelines.

A few months ago, I learned about a viable alternate pole, Node 155-F. I emailed you at that time about this alternate, and I am writing again to ask the City to give a full review of this alternate. Node 155-F is a much better option, for the following reasons:

1. It is much less visible
2. It has much better tree screening
3. It is not next to a two-story home

4. It is on a street with much less traffic

If you would come and take a visual inspection, you would agree with me.

I spoke with Mary and she confirmed that 155-F is a viable alternate, and she agreed with the four points I just mentioned.

So I strongly ask the city to request Verizon to submit all paperwork related to this alternate. Thank you so much and please keep me informed on the progress.

Thanks,
Melody
792 Los Robles Ave
650.485.9020